
Appendix J: References

- American Association of Public Opinion Research. (n.d.). Response rates: An overview. <https://www.aapor.org/Education-Resources/For-Researchers/Poll-Survey-FAQ/Response-Rates-An-Overview.aspx>
- Asire, M. A. (2017). *A meta-analysis of the effects of incentives on response rate in online survey studies* (1317) [Master's thesis, University of Denver]. Electronic Theses and Dissertations. <https://digitalcommons.du.edu/etd/1317>
- Berzofsky, M. E., McRitchie, S., & Brendel, M. (2012). Model-aided sampling: An empirical review. In *Proceedings of Fourth International Conference on Establishment Surveys* (pp. 1–11). American Statistical Association. <https://www2.amstat.org/meetings/ices/2012/papers/301868.pdf>
- Berzofsky, M. E., Welch, B., Williams, R. L., & Biemer, P. P. (2006). Using a model-assisted sampling paradigm instead of a traditional sampling paradigm in a nationally representative establishment survey. In *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 2763–2770). American Statistical Association. <http://www.asasrms.org/Proceedings/y2006/Files/JSM2006-000811.pdf>
- Biemer, P., Ellis, C., Pitt, A., & Robbins, K. (2006). *Effects on response rates and costs of a monetary incentive for the point of contact in an establishment survey* [Paper]. Proceedings of the American Association of Public Opinion Research, Montreal, Canada.
- Biemer, P. P., Ellis, C. S., Pitts, A. D., & Aspinwall, K. R. (2005). A test of monetary incentives for a large-scale establishment survey. In *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Joint Statistical Meetings*. American Statistical Association.
- Casey, S. (2020). *The Forrester Wave™: B2B marketing data providers, Q3 2018 (updated): The 12 providers that matter most and how they stack up*. Forrester. <https://www.forrester.com/report/The-Forrester-Wave-B2B-Marketing-Data-Providers-Q3-2018/RES142734>
- Chromy, J. R. (1979). Sequential sample selection methods. In *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 401–406). American Statistical Association. http://www.asasrms.org/Proceedings/papers/1979_081.pdf
- Cicchetti, D. V., & Allison, T. (1971). A new procedure for assessing reliability of scoring EEG sleep recordings. *American Journal of EEG Technology*, *11*, 101–109.
- Couper, M. (2008). *Designing effective Web surveys*. Cambridge University Press.
- Deville, J.-C., & Särndal, C.-E. (1992). Calibration estimation in survey sampling. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *87*(418), 376–382. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2290268>
- Dillman, D. A. (2020). Towards survey response rate theories that no longer pass each other like strangers in the night. In P. S. Brenner (Ed.), *Understanding survey methodology*:

- Sociological theory and applications* (pp. 15–44). *Frontiers in Sociology and Social Research* (Vol. 4). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-47256-6_2
- Employment and Training Administration (2009). *O*NET OnLine in transition assistance programs at Offutt Air Force Base*. U.S. Department of Labor.
- Funes, J. (2022). *Lives and livelihoods: Potential health and economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the DC workforce*. DC Office of Planning, Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development. https://planning.dc.gov/sites/default/files/dc/sites/op/page_content/attachments/COVID-19%20IMPACT%20ON%20DC%27s%20WORKFORCE.pdf
- Fleishman, E. A., & Mumford, M. D. (1991). Evaluating classifications of job behavior: A construct validation of the ability requirements scales. *Personnel Psychology*, 44(3), 523–575. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.1991.tb02403.x>
- Folsom, R. E., Potter, F. J., & Williams, S. R. (1987). Notes on a composite size measure for self-weighting samples in multiple domains. In *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 792–796). American Statistical Association. http://www.asasrms.org/Proceedings/papers/1987_141.pdf
- Folsom, R. E., & Singh, A. C. (2000). The generalized exponential model for sampling weight calibration for extreme values, nonresponse, and poststratification. In *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Section on Survey Research Methods* (pp. 598–603). American Statistical Association. http://www.asasrms.org/Proceedings/papers/2000_099.pdf
- Folsom, R. E., & Witt, M. B. (1994). Testing a new attrition nonresponse adjustment method for SIPP. In *Proceedings of the American Statistical Association, Social Statistics Section* (pp. 428–433). American Statistical Association.
- Johnson, L., Jones, A., Butler, M., & Main, D. (1981). *Assessing interrater agreement in job analysis ratings*. Naval Health Research Center.
- Kish, L. (1965). *Survey sampling*. Wiley.
- Klein, R. J., Proctor, S. E., Boudreault, M. A., & Tuczyn, K. M. (2002). *Healthy People 2010 criteria for data suppression*. National Center for Health Statistics.
- Korn, E. L., & Graubard, B. I. (1999). *Analysis of health surveys*. Wiley.
- Mumford, M. D., Peterson, N. G., & Childs, R. A. (1997). Basic and cross-functional skills: Evidence for the reliability and validity of the measures. In N. G. Peterson, M. D. Mumford, W. C. Borman, P. R. Jeanneret, E. A. Fleishman, & K. Y. Levin (Eds.), *O*NET final technical report*. Utah Department of Workforce Services through a contract with American Institutes of Research.
- Office of Survey Methods Research. (2023, July 26). Establishment surveys unit response rates. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. <https://www.bls.gov/osmr/response-rates/establishment-survey-response-rates.htm>

- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Borman, W. C., Jeanneret, P. R., Fleishman, E. A., & Levin, K. Y. (Eds.). (1997a). *O*NET final technical report*. Utah Department of Workforce Services.
- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Levin, K. Y., Green, J., & Waksberg, J. (1997b). Research method: Development and field testing of the content model. In N. G. Peterson, M. D. Mumford, W. C. Borman, P. R. Jeanneret, & K. Y. Levin (Eds.), *O*NET final technical report*. Utah Department of Workforce Services, through a contract with the American Institutes for Research.
- Peterson, N. G., Mumford, M. D., Borman, W. C., Jeanneret, P. R., Fleishman, E. A., Levin, K. Y., Champion, M. A., Mayfield, M. S., Morgeson, F. P., Pearlman, K., Gowing, M. K., Lancaster, A. R., Silver, M. B., & Dye, D. M. (2001). Understanding work using the Occupational Information Network (O*NET): Implications for practice and research. *Personnel Psychology*, 54(2), 451–492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2001.tb00100.x>
- RTI International. (2013). SUDAAN® Version 11.0.1 for Windows.
- Statistics Research Division. (2000, October). *O*NET report: Results of statistical analysis of pretest*. RTI International.
- Tourangeau, R. (2017, May). *Presidential address: It's you, survey and polling industry, you that I love* [Presentation]. Annual Conference of the American Association of Public Opinion Research, New Orleans, LA.
- Tsacoumis, S., & Van Iddekinge, C. H. (2006). *A comparison of incumbent and analyst ratings of O*NET skills*. Human Resources Research Organization.
- Wenger, J. B. Roer, E. H., & Wong, J. P. (2023). *Military to civilian occupational matching: Using the O*NET to provide match recommendations for the U.S. Navy, Marine Corps, and Airforce*. Rand Corporation.
- Willenborg, L., & De Waal, T. (1996). *Statistical disclosure control in practice series: Lecture notes in statistics* (Vol. 111). Springer.
- Workforce Information Advisory Council. (2017, February). *Challenges and opportunities in workforce and labor market information*.